G.R S IX—5]

Revenue

Previous references
Mysg 160-23-1-2
" 10-17-1-4

(1922. Mysore)

File No. 358-22
Serial Nos. 1—4

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 4

Part B for May 1924

SUBJECT

Recommending that the Taalluk grant of Rs 8-10-8 a month of the Karikal Kasi Viswesvara Swamy temple in Kodagal, Hunsur Taluq, now in force, may be transferred for the up-keep of the Kille Ganapathy temple at Imporse of the Basavanna temple at Belavadda in Imporse Taluq on equal proportion of Rs 4-5-4 each

G O dtd 16-26-8
dated 8-5-24

To the Mysg Commr
" Comptr
" Inam supdt in Imporse

The recomendation of the Mysore Commr is sanctioned

G. R. S. 11]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mzp.)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HEADING.

Grant of Rs 5/- a month to the Kille Parasarama Pratishta idol, Fort, Mysore, from the funds of the Chandramouleshwara swamy temple at Siddalingapura, Mysore taluk.

From

The Mzp. Dy. Comr., ~~Mysore Dist.~~ in Mysore

C. 1018 of 22-23

No. ~~C. 570 of 22-23~~ Dated ~~21~~-3-23. Received 3-3-23

Diary No. 1711	Enclo ~~One file~~ Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards with Mysore Distt Dy. Comr's letter recommending a grant of Rs 5/- a month to the above temple for Paditara Deeparadhane expenses out of the ~~temple~~ funds of Sri Chandramouleswara temple at Siddalingapura.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

It will be seen from the Dy Comr's letter that the Ganapathy idol in question, was, in connection with improvements to the Palace, removed from the Fort entre to the Vittoba temple at the ~~North~~ Fort South gate and consecrated [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

M. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 356 of 1922

Serial No.

The City Improvement Fund. A sum of Rs 200 awarded out of this fund in favour of the Deity, has been invested in P.N. (1½% Bds) loan by the Palace. As the income out of this investment is insufficient for the Padikara Deepaaradhane expense of the temple, it has been proposed to remit a sum out of the funds of the temple at Siddalingapura If has been represented that these expenses originally met by the devotees, but that it is not possible now as the Deity has been removed from its original place.

2 Mug: File 10-17-(1-4) in which a sum of Rs 10 has been sanctioned to be granted every month to the Basavanagudi Mallikarjuna temple out of the funds of the temple at Siddalingapura, is put up for perusal It is reported that the temple funds can bear the proposed charge-

3. The point for orders is whether the diversion proposed is unobjectionable on principle - The Mug: Comr has not given his opinion

4. The Siddalingapura Temple has a large surplus of Rs 394 14-9 every year and if 5 Rs per month is sanctioned in favour

7.3.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mzy File No. 356 of 1922

Serial No.

of Mysore Kille Ganapathy, the surplus will still be Rs 334 per year. The deeds (both donor & recipient) are of the same persuasion & are situated in the same Taluk. The records of the Dy Comr. May be sent.

BB
7/8/23

Please put up a Complete list of Contributions made from the Siddhalingapura temple & other such Institutions

HJ 8/8/23

Subd

6. To no other institution is any contribution made from the temple at Siddalingapura. Only Rs 10 is being made to the Basavangudi temple from the Siddalingapur temple. If approved, a draft Sanctg a grant of Rs 5/- to the Ganapati temple at Mysore will be put up.

BD
9/3/23

BB 9/3/23

HJ 10/3/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



ST 2/3

SDO

The Ver: records do not show that the Dharmadarsis have been consulted. As it is a major institution getting an income of more than Rs 1000, Dharmadarsis must have been appointed.

May the M.C. be requested

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 356 of 1927.

Serial No.

From the Muz: Comr., letter no. C 1018 & 8/4/24

States that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Chandramouleeswara swami temple are not willing to make any assignment from the temple to the Ganapati temple and makes other proposals.

17. References - para 16 of the note.

The Dharmadarsis of the Chandramouleeswaraswami temple not being agreeable to the payment of any grant from the temple funds to the Ganapati temple at Mysore and the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Basaveswara temple at Hadya, Yedatore Taluk, not being agreeable to make any grant from their temple to the Basavanna temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk, the (vide Muz 140-23 put up for refee.) Muz. Comr. recommends that the baadik of Rs 8-10-8 set free by another temple at Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, may be divided equally between the above two temples.

18) Draft sanct is subd. for approval or other orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750—1 8 22

24/4/24 25/4/24

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 356 of 1924.

Serial No.

19) D asst to appl

28.4.24.

Rec. Secy to appl

30/4

32.4.24.

807 / 30.4.24 II Branch

20) Dossier appl (Does he require same) & re

Supdt, Mdu

1/5.

21) This is a question of the Zamorin granting a deferred watch having lands & two watchers which are worn & satisfactory and does not require the above Sanction. It is not a new grant

Bh 1/5/24

2.5.24.

92 / 5.5.24 Rec. Secy II Branch

1/5

5/5

22) Clear dy 6/5.

6/5

23) Issue

7.5.24.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 356 of 1928.

Serial No.	
4	To the Muzrai Commr. Ex. Madras Gram Lr. No. 1826-8 d. 8.1.28. Sanctioning the Muzrai Comr.'s recommendation transfer the Dadik of Rs. 8-10-3 annually of from the Sri Kasi Viswanathaswami Temple at Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, to the Sri Ganapathi Devasthanam Temple, Mysore, & the Basavanna Temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk, as the latter has been removed to an New Temple. Pass Dadik

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

From The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore (Mysore District)

To The Secretary to Government of [illegible], Revenue Department, [illegible]
(Through the proper channel)

Dated

Despatched

Received 15/1/23 [illegible]

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Subject

D 1711
Inam 1st
3-3-23

R. Misc. 56-22-1 Recommends the grant of Rs 5/- to Sri Prasanna Ganapathi idol, Fort, Mysore, from the funds of the Sri Chendramouleswara Swamy Temple at Srikantapura, Mysore, Taluk.

324:9

C. 1018 of 22-23 9.1.23
Revenue

Forwarded with Compliments to the Govt of Mysore, Revenue Department, with ref. to his letter No. 2354 - D 1232 dated 7th Dec 1923 R.R. 340-22-2.

K. Nandy
Manager Executive Council

[illegible]

ST
3/2/23

D17 II
Incl Briefs
3 - 3 - 23

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore, 9th January 1923.

From

D.Ramaswamaiya, Esq.B.A.,B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.L.Jayarama Iyer, Esq.B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

Through Revenue Department.

The Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to the several petitions from Sivaram Bhatta of Mysore addressed to the Huzrai Secretary to Government and to this office stating that the Nilla Prasanna Ganapathy idol has been removed from within the fort of Mysore to Vitola temple at the south gate of the fort by the City Municipal authorities, and praying for necessary arrangements being made for padithara and Deeparadhane to the said diety etc, I have the honor to state that from the enclosed file of correspondence it is seen that the temple of the above diety which was situated in the fort was acquired for Palace purposes by the Chairman City Improvement and Trust Board, Mysore, and a sum of Rs.200/- was awarded in favor of the diety. This amount is seen to have been invested in Mysore Government 4% State Loan Bonds which are deposited with the Huzur Secretary to His Highness for arrangements being made for "Deeparadhane" services to the said diety from the interest to be derived on the above investment (vide award order dated 10th February 22 pherists 9 and 10

The idol was removed to a temple in fort and consecrated there at the cost of the City Improvement Fund. No cash grant or land inam is said to have been endowed to this diety. It is reported that when the idol was within the fort, the expenses required for padithara and deeparadhane etc was being met by the

the the devotees Divine inside b[illegible] on the [illegible] acquisition of houses within t[illegible] to distant places an[illegible] that [illegible]

It is urged by the archik of [illegible] income of 12-8-0 a year or about a rupee a month [illegible] on the above investment - is hardly enough [illegible] temple and that some help be granted by Government [illegible] and his remuneration.

The Sub Division Officer whose opinion was call[illegible] recommended that a grant of Rs.10/- per month may be made from the annual suplus of the Sri Chandra Moulesvaraswamy temple at inam Siddalingapur village, Mysore taluk, which will be about Rs.300/- as estimated in the rivision proporals which will be submitted shortly. It may be mentioned in this connection that an annual contribution of Rs.120/- is being made from the Sri Chandra Mouleswaraswamy temple to the Bangalore Avarangoi [illegible] temple. Subh being the case, a small contribution at Rs.5/- per month to the Ganapathy temple at Fort will not be much, and I therefore recommend that a grant of Rs.5/- a month to the above temple be kindly made from the Siddalingapur temple.

The connected vernacular papers received Mysore Sub Division Officer's memo No.H.C 799/19-20 dated 9th December 22 are forwarded herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]
17/1

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1711

Section

File No. 86-22-

Serial No. 2

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, *the* 192 .

No. 2533

one file

Date of issue 31.3.23

Signed by Mr. J.E.J. Aiyar

To

The Muzrai Court in Mysore

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your

letter no. C. 1018 of 22-23 dt 1-3-23

recommending the grant of

Rs 5/- a month from the S i

Bhairavamokeswaraswami

temple at Siddalingapura,

Mysore Taluk, to the Kille Sri

Ganapati idol, Fort Mysore,

I am directed to enquire whether

the Dharmadarsis of the [illegible]

over.

Grant 29/3/23

[illegible] have been considered
[illegible] whether they are agreeable
[illegible] proposal [illegible]

[illegible] manufacturers are returned
herewith [illegible]
[illegible]
retransmission.

[illegible]
[illegible]
Secretary
Rec: Draftee

XV
23 3 24

Copied by

Examined

WD 0553—GPB 2,000—6 7 23

No. C 1018 of 1923 Office of the Mural Commissioner in Mysore
One Rouge file Date: Bangalore, 3rd April 1924.

From

K, Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Mural Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Mung & SR
2029
10-4-24

3192

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A., Mung. 356-22-3.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2555/L.F.356-22-3 dated 31st March 1923, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter Ref. C.No.370/22-23 dated 29th March 1924 together with its enclosures --- from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the ---- Dharmadarsis of the Sri Chandramouleswaraswami at Siddalingapura, -- Mysore Taluk, are not willing for any assignment being made over for the benefit of the Kille Ganapati temple, Mysore, and recommending -- that the tasdik grant of Rs.8-10-8 a month alloted for Naditana and Deeparadhane expenses of Karikal Nasi Visveswaraswami temple in ---- Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, now in ruins, may be transferred for the upkeep of the Ganapati temple.

2. As the idols have already been removed to another temple in the same Taluk and as there are not the slightest chances of the temple -- being revived, I beg to recommend that the grant set free as above -- may be transferred for the maintenance of (i) Kille Ganapati Devaru, Mysore and (ii) Basavanna temple at Belavatta in Mysore Taluk, in --- equal portion of Rs.4-5-4 each (vide your letter No.957/L.F.140-23-2 dated 12th January 1924).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K Chandy
Mural Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.R.C.370/22-23 dated 30/3/1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Amildar in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.370/22-23 dated 11/13th April 1923 re: the Tasdik grant to Kille Ganapati Temple, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Mysore Taluk reports that the Archakas of the Siddalingapur Temple who were since consulted in the matter are not agreeable to the grant being made available to the Kille Ganapati Temple from the funds of the Sri Chenoramoleswara Swamy Temple at Siddalingapur.

The Sub Division Officer strongly recommends that under the Circumstances, the Tasdik grant of Rs.8-10-8 that was allotted for the padithara and deeparadhane of Karikal Kasi Visveswara Swamy Temple at Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, may be transferred for the maintenance of the Kille Ganapathi Temple at Fort, Mysore City, as the former Temple is reported to be in ruins, for the last four or five years, its idols having been removed to some other Temple in Hunsur Taluk for worship as there was not the slightest chance of this Temple being revived. The Sub Division Officer, Mr. Balaraj Urs has, after inspection of the Karikal Kasi Visveswara Swamy Temple in question, submitted the above report. Payment of Padithara and Deeparadhane allowance to this institution is reported to have been stopped some four or five years ago, owing to stoppage of service in the Temple.

The entire file of vernacular correspondence ending with -- Mysore Sub Division Officer's memo No.H.C.799/19-20 dated 14th ----

February

KJR.

G.R.S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 2029 .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mug)

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue.... 8.5.24 .....

Signed by... Ma T. Ramaswamy .....

Section, Mis

File No. 87-4

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Correspondence noted in connection with letter No. C 170 Q 22-23 dated 8th April 1924 from the Asst. Comr. in Mysore forwarding letter no. C 370 Q 22-23 & 29-3-24 from the Dy: Comr. Mysore &c, stating that the Srikantheswara Swami Temple at Sivasamudram Order Thereon- Mysore Taluk, are not willing to make any assignment from the temple for the benefit of the Kille Prasanna Anjaneya temple, Mysore, and proposing

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

18

Recommending

that the tasdik grant of Rs 8 10-? a month of the Karikal Kasi Viswesvara Swami temple in Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, now in ruins, may be transferred for the upkeep of the ~~same~~ Kille Prasanna Ganapathi ~~Swami~~ temple at Mysore and ~~recommending~~ that the tasdik amount of Rs 8-10-8 per month thus set free may be transferred for the ~~maintenance of Kille Ganapathi~~ Devasthanam, Mysore ~~city~~, of the Basavanna temple at Belavatta in Mysore Taluk, in equal proportion of Rs 4-5-4 each -

Order:- 1626-8, Exam one file of the coords
Mem 356-22-4 dy 8.5.24

List of enclosures.

It is reported that the temple of Ganapathi Devasthanam, which was within the Mysore Fort, was acquired for Palace purposes by the Mysore city Improvement Trust Board ~~and~~ a sum of Rs 200/- having been awarded in favour of the ~~temple~~ city and that this sum has

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

G. R S G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form)

has been invested in the 6½% Stock Loan. The cost of repairs to the temple south of the Fort was also incurred there at the cost of the Municipality for improvement thereof. The temple has lost its income as a result of the acquisition of the houses in the Fort, since the devotees, who were living in the Fort and contributing towards its expenditure have gone to different parts of the city, and the interest on Rs 2000/- has been found inadequate to meet the Padithare & Deeparadhane expenses.

~~In the case of~~ The Basavanna temple ~~also~~ at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk, ~~which~~ is said to be an ancient institution ~~founded in 1817 and held in veneration since the time of H. H. Sri Chikka Devaraja Wodeyar and which has~~ with no fixed income of its own, and the recommendation ~~has been recommended that~~ of the Mysore Govt. for a ~~has been made~~ grant of Rs 5/- a month for its maintenance ~~may be paid~~

WD 2230—GPB—1 00,000—23 7 20

out of the funds of the Sri
Basaveswara temple at Hadya
in Yedatore Taluk, ~~but this was~~ could not be sanctd.
~~declined to be sanctd. by Govt. in letter no 927/Mug~~
~~for~~ ~~in the ground that the temple~~
~~dated~~ 160-23-2 dy 12-4-24,
of the latter temple
Dharmadars is were not agreeable
to it.

The ~~Dy~~ Comr. ~~has~~ recommends and the Mys. Comr.
~~supports the recommn.~~
~~stated the facts of the case~~ that ~~the~~ as the
idols of the Kankal Kasi Visveswara swamy
temple ~~have~~ of Kattigal ~~Hunsur Taluk~~ have been removed to another
temple in the same Taluk and as there
is ~~not the slightest~~ no chance of
the temple being revived, ~~and recommends that~~ its tasdik of Rs 8-10-8 a
month may be transferred in equal
proportions to the Kille Ganapathi
Devaru, Fort, Mysore, ~~(2)~~ and the Basaveswara
temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk.

~~Under the circumstances reported~~
the recommendation of the Mys:
Comr. is sanctd.

Secretary
Rev: Deptt.

25/4/24

The Mys. Comr in Mysore
Retg. enclos.
" Comptr to Govt
" Deputy Supdt. in Mysore

24.4.24

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G O No 1626-8—Muz. 356-22-4, dated 8th May 1924

Karikal Kasi Visveswaraswami Temple at Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk

Sanctions the transfer of the Tasdik grant of Rs. 8-10-8 a month of the —— in equal proportions to the Kille Ganapathidevaru, Fort, Mysore , and to Basavanna temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk, for their up-keep.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 1018 of 1922-23, dated the 8th April 1924 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore forwarding letter No C. 370 of 1922-23, dated the 29th March 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommending that the tasdik grant of Rs. 8-10-8 a month of the Karikal Kasi Visveswaraswami temple in Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, now in ruins, may be transferred for the up-keep of the Kille Prasanna Ganapathiswami temple at Mysore and of the Basavanna temple at Belavatta in Mysore Taluk in equal proportion of Rs. 4-5-4 each.

ORDER No. 1626 8—MUZ. 356-22-4, DATED 8TH MAY 1924.

It is reported that the temple of Ganapathidevaru, which was within the Mysore Fort was acquired for Palace purposes by the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board a sum of Rs. 200 having been awarded in favour of the temple and that this sum has been invested in the 6½ per cent State Loan. The idol was removed to a temple south of the Fort and consecrated there at the cost of the City Improvement Fund. The temple has lost its income as a result of the acquisition of the houses in the Fort, since the devotees, who were living in the Fort and contributing towards its expenditure have gone to different parts of the City and the interest on Rs. 200 has been found inadequate to meet the paditara and Deeparadhane expenses.

The Basavanna temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk, is said to be an ancient institution with no fixed income of its own and the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner for a grant of Rs. 5 a month for its maintenance out of the funds of the Sri Basavanna temple at Hadya in Yedatore Taluk could not be sanctioned as the Dharmadarsis of the latter temple were not agreeable to it

The Deputy Commissioner now recommends and the Muzrai Commissioner supports the recommendation that as the idols of the Karikal Kasi Visveswaraswami temple of Kottagal, Hunsur Taluk, have been removed to another temple in the same Taluk and as there is no chance of the temple being revived, its tasdik of Rs. 8-10-8 a month may be transferred in equal proportions to the Kille Ganapathidevaru, Fort, Mysore, and the Basavanna temple at Belavatta, Mysore Taluk. The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned.

K. V. ANANTARAMAN,
Offg. Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore (returning enclosures).
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd.—K. S.

W D 2213—GPB—300—17-5 24

G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1910.
(Menyrai)

File No. 396 10

Serial Nos. 1 and 2

Papers Filed.

Month March 1910

SUBJECT.

Petn of D Hanumantachar of Bangalore praying for a grant of 40 or 50 Rs a month from the Benares Chattram fund

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

M. Venkatasubbaiya
Recorder